



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/060,712	01/29/2002	Bartley K. Andre	APL1P234C1/P2426USC1	8995
22434	7590 06/24/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			LESPERANCE, JEAN E	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	

DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annii andian bia	A1:4/-)				
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/060,712	ANDRE ET AL.				
concerned Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Jean E Lesperance	2674				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	on espondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ap	oril 2005					
_	action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	· <u>-</u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>20-22,25-28,30-34,36,37,39 and 42-47</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-22,25-28,30-34,36,37,39 and 42-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
•	cicolon requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 January 2002</u> is/are: a) \Box accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	* ***					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/7/05. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed April 7, 2005 is entered and claim 20-22, 25-28, 30-34, 36,
 37, 39 and 42-47 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-22, 25-28, 30-34, 36, 37, 39, and 42-45 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 5,841,426 ("Dodson et al.").

As per claim 20, Dodson et al. teach an input device (10) comprising a base member Fig.1 (12); and a top surface Fig.1 (26) corresponding to an integral top member cooperating with the base member to form a housing of the input device that encases internal components of the input device, the integral top member moving relative to the base member to provide a clicking action, the integral top member being movably coupled to the base member, the top surface 26 of figure 1 inherently has two positions, a position when it is at rest away from the base member (no action is needed) and a position when a foot is placed on the top surface 26 for clicking action and cursor control corresponding to the integral top member being capable of moving between a

Application/Control Number: 10/060,712

Art Unit: 2674

first position, placing the integral top member away from the base member and a second position, placing the integral top member towards the base member.

As per claim 21, Dodson et al. teach a signal generator Fig.1 (14) corresponding to the internal components include electronics associated with moving a cursor on a display.

As per claim 22, Dodson et al. teach a base Fig.1 (12) corresponding to wherein the base member is configured to make moving contact with a surface.

As per claim 25, Dodson et al. teach a platform Fig.1 (18) uses to place the operator foot and the platform 18 will make contact with switches 32 and 38 corresponding to wherein the clicking action is implemented by moving the integral top member to the second position.

As per claim 26, Dodson et al. teach a biasing a ball and socket joint may be provided with a spring for urging base 18 into a predetermined position relative to the base 12 (column 3, lines 50-52) corresponding to a spring pad for biasing the integral top member in the first position.

As per claim 27, Dodson et al. teach a top surface 26 which inclined when base 12 is supported on a horizontal surface corresponding wherein the integral top member is pivotally coupled to the base member and wherein the integral top member includes a pair of pivots Fig.1 (32 and 38), and wherein the base member includes a pair of snap mechanisms that mate with the pair of pivots.

As per claim 28, Dodson et al. teach a top surface 26 which inclined when base 12 is supported on a horizontal surface corresponding wherein the integral top member

Art Unit: 2674

is pivotally coupled to the base member and wherein the integral top member includes a pair of pivots Fig.1 (32 and 38), and wherein the base member includes a pair of snap mechanisms that mate with the pair of pivots.

As per claim 30, Dodson et al. teach switches Fig.1 (32 and 380 corresponding to wherein an electronic switch is coupled to the base member, and wherein the integral top member includes an elongated member for engaging the electronic switch.

As per claim 31, Dodson et al. teach a top member Fig.1 (26) corresponding to wherein the integral top member has no separate mechanical buttons disposed thereon.

As per claim 32, Dodson et al. teach a base member Fig.1 (12) corresponding to a bottom member configured to make moving contact with a surface; a top surface Fig.1 (26) corresponding to a top member mechanically coupled with the base member to form the mouse housing and to encase said mouse (electronics Fig.3), the top surface 26 of figure 1 inherently has two positions, a position when it is at rest away from the base member (no action is needed) and a position when a foot is placed on the top surface 26 for clicking action and cursor control corresponding to the top member defining the entire top surface of the mouse housing, the top member moving relative to the bottom member between a first position, placing the top member away from the bottom member and a second position, placing the top member towards the bottom member, so as to implement a clicking action, the entire top member serving as a movable button for implementing the clicking action; and switches Fig.1 (32 and 38) corresponding to an electronic switch disposed inside the mouse housing, the electronic switch being activated by said clicking action so as to perform an onscreen action.

Application/Control Number: 10/060,712

Art Unit: 2674

As per claim 33, Dodson et al. teach a signal generator Fig.1 (14) corresponding to a mechanism for generating cursor control signals, the mechanism being carried by the bottom member.

As per claim 34, Dodson et al. teach a ball Fig.1 (16) corresponding to wherein the mechanism is a trackball or optical electronics.

As per claim 36, Dodson et al. teach a base member Fig.1 (12); and a top surface Fig.1 (26) corresponding to a top member cooperating with the base member to form a housing of the input device that substantially (figure 3) encloses internal components of the input device, a top surface Fig.1 (26) corresponding to the top member defining the entire top surface of the housing, the top member moving relative to the base member to provide a clicking action, the entire top member serving as a button for actuating an internal electronic switch (switches 32 and 38) configured to register the clicking action as an input to the electronics of the input device.

As per claim 37, Dodson et al. teach a top surface Fig.1 (26) corresponding to wherein the top member is a single piece having no separate mechanical buttons disposed thereon.

As per claim 39, Dodson et al. teach a top surface Fig.1 (26) corresponding to wherein the integral top member forms the entire top portion of the housing.

As per claim 42, Dodson et al. teach a base member configured to make moving contact with a surface; an integral top member mechanically coupled to the base member to encase the electronics, the integral top member and the base member being coupled and engaged in a manner that allows the integral top member to serve as a

button for activating an internal electronic switch to register palm clicking as an input to the electronics.

As for claim 43, Dodson et al. teach a platform located on said top side of said base, said platform adapted to cooperate with and support a user's foot, said platform engaged with a <u>pivot</u> means for pivotal movement with respect to said base (column 5, lines 31-35).

As for claim 44, Dodson et al. teach a foot-operated mouse for controlling a computer, providing similar functions as a conventional <u>hand</u> operated mouse.

As for claim 45, Dodson et al. teach a foot-operated mouse for controlling a computer, providing similar functions as a conventional <u>hand</u> operated mouse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 5,841,426 ("Dodson et al.") in view of US Patent # 5,661,505 ("Livits').

As for claim 46, Dodson et al. teach a foot operated mouse (column 3, lines 25-28) but fails to suggest a trackball mechanism. However, Livits teaches a trackball mechanism (column 1, lines 17). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a trackball as taught by Livits in the foot operated mouse

disclosed by Dodson et al. because this would provide a rocking keyboard incorporate a trackball which can itself serve as a trackball for inputting data to a computer.

As for claim 47, Livits teaches an optical sensor Fig.2C (106a).

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed April 7, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued that Dodson fails to teach or suggest a platform and a base that encases the internal components of the controller. Examiner disagrees with the applicant because controller 90 of fig.3 includes the internal components of the footoperated mouse. Does not Fig.3, which a controller looks like a normal mouse, which can grasp and manipulated by the user's hand. I think so. The foot-operated mouse is just like any other mouse. The mouse controller 90 of fig.3 can also be hand held and operated by the hand since it is mouse just any other mouse. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/060,712

Art Unit: 2674

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 8

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Jean Lesperance whose telephone number is (571)

272-7692. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday to Friday between

10:00AM and 6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Edouard, can be reached on (571) 272-7603.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Jean Lesperance

Art Unit 2674

HENRY N. THAN PRIMARY FXAME

Huny N. Tom